# Award Criteria Guidance HT.5115

# A. The Evaluation of the projects will take into account the coverage of:

#### I. Two Areas

- 1. Area: Improvement of knowledge, application and interpretation of EU competition law
- 2. Area: Development of legal linguistic skills of national judges

#### II. Six priorities for training activities focussing on

- 1. Article 101 and 102 TFEU
- 2. Directive 2014/104 on antitrust damages actions
- 3. Economic principles of competition law
- 4. Application of competition law in regulated industries
- 5. State Aid
- 6. Development of legal linguistic skills
- III. Three preferences when assessing the projects:
  - 1. Trainings foreseeing consecutive levels, building up one on another
  - 2. Trainings which not simply duplicate/overlap existing/planned training material or existing/future projects of other training providers at national level, but that act in complementarity or that innovate
  - 3. Trainings addressing judges from several member states in one training and thus encourage networking

# B. The award criteria for the projects (total max. 100 points)

## I. Relevance of the objectives and the subject matter (max. 30 points)

Inter alia: compliance of the project with the objectives of the call looking into the Areas/ Priorities/ Preferences/ relevance of the issues in relation to the target audience` needs and the European added value

## II. Quality of the project (max. 30 points)

Inter alia: methodology/ goals/ appropriateness of the activities/ quality of speakers and action/ timing/ marketing and networks/ partnerships necessary to reach the target audience.

#### III. Geographical scope and expected results, dissemination and sustainability (max. 20 points)

Inter alia: geographic impact, achievability of results/ dissemination/ shared and sustainable learning material

#### IV. Cost-effectiveness (max. 20 points)

Inter alia: reasonable budget in view of: expected results, a cost per day/judge basis, the ratio between staff costs and overall costs of the project (in particular in view of the cost coverage foreseen for participants)

## C. Relevant minimum score for Grants

Projects have to reach a minimum overall score of 60 points <u>and</u> at least 50% of the points available under criterions I., II. and IV.

Departing from these thresholds and within the limits of the available budget, the Evaluation Committee will establish a ranking of the projects eligible for co-financing under the Call HT.5115.

\_\_\_\_\_