

# Bank Mergers, Credit Supply and Systemic Risk

## Evidence from the Spanish Banking Sector Restructuring Program

Discussant: Giacinta Cestone (Cass Business School and ECGI)

**EAGCP Meeting**

Bruxelles, 12 June 2019

# Spanish Savings Banks Restructuring (2009-2012)

The paper in a nutshell:

1. Analyze effect on lending (quantities, prices) and banks stability (NPL, defaults) of the *cajas* restructuring program that combined:
  - ▶ Capital injection through a public rescue fund (FROB)
  - ▶ Consolidation through either straight mergers (M&A) or “soft mergers” (SIP)

# Spanish Savings Banks Restructuring (2009-2012)

The paper in a nutshell:

1. Analyze effect on lending (quantities, prices) and banks stability (NPL, defaults) of the *cajas* restructuring program that combined:
  - ▶ Capital injection through a public rescue fund (FROB)
  - ▶ Consolidation through either straight mergers (M&A) or “soft mergers” (SIP)
2. DiD, contrasting change in credit balance, interest rate, NPLs, creditor defaults – in M&A banks versus SIP banks

# Spanish Savings Banks Restructuring (2009-2012)

The paper in a nutshell:

1. Analyze effect on lending (quantities, prices) and banks stability (NPL, defaults) of the *cajas* restructuring program that combined:
  - ▶ Capital injection through a public rescue fund (FROB)
  - ▶ Consolidation through either straight mergers (M&A) or “soft mergers” (SIP)
2. DiD, contrasting change in credit balance, interest rate, NPLs, creditor defaults – in M&A banks versus SIP banks
3. Finding: M&As reduce credit supply and increase interest rates; but also ↓ NPL and borrower defaults – when compared to SIPs

# Bank Mergers: Less Lending but Better Lending?

- ▶ Bank mergers can affect the bank credit market in different ways:
  1. Market power  $\uparrow \implies$  less lending, higher interest rates (Sapienza 2002)
  2. Market power  $\uparrow \implies$  better selection of borrowers (Mahoney-Weyl 2014)
  3. Informational efficiencies (Panetta, Schivardi, Schum 2009)  $\implies$  NPL and loan defaults  $\downarrow$  hence banking stability improves

# Bank Mergers: Less Lending but Better Lending?

- ▶ Bank mergers can affect the bank credit market in different ways:
  1. Market power  $\uparrow \implies$  less lending, higher interest rates (Sapienza 2002)
  2. Market power  $\uparrow \implies$  better selection of borrowers (Mahoney-Weyl 2014)
  3. Informational efficiencies (Panetta, Schivardi, Schum 2009)  $\implies$  NPL and loan defaults  $\downarrow$  hence banking stability improves
- ▶ This paper:
  - ▶ M&A and SIP have same effect on 3. but very different effect on market power  $\implies$  If we observe lower NPL/defaults after M&As, it must be because of market power

# Bank Mergers: Less Lending but Better Lending?

- ▶ Bank mergers can affect the bank credit market in different ways:
  1. Market power  $\uparrow \implies$  less lending, higher interest rates (Sapienza 2002)
  2. Market power  $\uparrow \implies$  better selection of borrowers (Mahoney-Weyl 2014)
  3. Informational efficiencies (Panetta, Schivardi, Schum 2009)  $\implies$  NPL and loan defaults  $\downarrow$  hence banking stability improves
- ▶ This paper:
  - ▶ M&A and SIP have same effect on 3. but very different effect on market power  $\implies$  If we observe lower NPL/defaults after M&As, it must be because of market power
- ▶ My concerns:
  - ▶ If SIP members are less able to coordinate lending than M&A, not obvious how SIP can achieve same informational efficiencies as an M&A
  - ▶ Larger drop in NPL and defaults observed for M&As could well be due to information efficiencies rather than “selection effect”.

# Did SIP Mergers Increase Market Power?

Paper conjectures that SIP member banks have little ability to coordinate lending decisions → smaller increase in market power wrt M&As.

- ▶ You support this claim with evidence that different SIP banks respond differently to a credit application by the same firm



# Did SIP Mergers Increase Market Power?

Paper conjectures that SIP member banks have little ability to coordinate lending decisions  $\rightarrow$  smaller increase in market power wrt M&As.

- ▶ You support this claim with evidence that different SIP banks respond differently to a credit application by the same firm
- ▶ This result also suggests SIP banks do not share information or screening technologies  $\implies$  SIPs also less conducive to informational efficiencies?

# Did SIP Mergers Increase Market Power?

Paper conjectures that SIP member banks have little ability to coordinate lending decisions → smaller increase in market power wrt M&As.

- ▶ You support this claim with evidence that different SIP banks respond differently to a credit application by the same firm
- ▶ This result also suggests SIP banks do not share information or screening technologies ⇒ SIPs also less conducive to informational efficiencies?
- ▶ More evident fact: SIPs are mostly out-of-market mergers:
  - ▶ Little overlap between local credit markets of SIP member banks (SIP are geographically diversified, M&As are not!)
  - ▶ Table 6: only 1,000 cases where same firm applied for credit at more than one bank within the same SIP (compare this with pre 2009 figure for M&As)

# What Is the Paper About?

- ▶ Is it about comparing competition/stability in *different types of mergers*, i.e. merging into one firm vs forming a “business group”?
  - ▶ Alternative approach: DiD using non-merging banks as control, with M&A and SIP as different treated groups
  - ▶ Sure, merger decision is endogenous but so is the choice between M&A and SIP

# What Is the Paper About?

- ▶ Is it about comparing competition/stability in *different types of mergers*, i.e. merging into one firm vs forming a “business group”?
  - ▶ Alternative approach: DiD using non-merging banks as control, with M&A and SIP as different treated groups
  - ▶ Sure, merger decision is endogenous but so is the choice between M&A and SIP
- ▶ Is it about showing that mergers can improve stability *precisely because they increase market power*?
  - ▶ As I said, larger information efficiencies may well explain ↓ in NPL/defaults
  - ▶ Also, to make a statement about financial stability, you also need to ask what happens to non merging rival banks.

# What Happens to Rival Banks that Did Not Merge?

- ▶ What is impact of consolidation program on the banks that did not merge?

# What Happens to Rival Banks that Did Not Merge?

- ▶ What is impact of consolidation program on the banks that did not merge?
- ▶ Important step in your analysis, if want to show that mergers improve financial stability

# What Happens to Rival Banks that Did Not Merge?

- ▶ What is impact of consolidation program on the banks that did not merge?
- ▶ Important step in your analysis, if want to show that mergers improve financial stability
- ▶ After consolidation:
  - ▶ Rival non-merging banks also likely to increase interest rates
  - ▶ Effect on rival banks' loan supply and borrower selection less clear a priori: could they end up chasing worse borrowers?
  - ▶ Clearly, no information efficiencies for them

# What Happens to Rival Banks that Did Not Merge?

- ▶ What is impact of consolidation program on the banks that did not merge?
- ▶ Important step in your analysis, if want to show that mergers improve financial stability
- ▶ After consolidation:
  - ▶ Rival non-merging banks also likely to increase interest rates
  - ▶ Effect on rival banks' loan supply and borrower selection less clear a priori: could they end up chasing worse borrowers?
  - ▶ Clearly, no information efficiencies for them
- ▶ Advantage: no endogeneity issues  $\implies$  can exploit the staggered timing of the mergers (instead of just having a common event date)



# Other Comments

- ▶ Are SIPs Less Prone to Cut Crony Lending than M&As?
  - ▶ Proxy for crony lending: pre-event credit to firms in municipalities ruled by 2007 regional election winner. However: many 2007 elections gave rise to coalition; Catalunya had elections in 2006
  - ▶ Alternative proxy for crony lending: percentage of lending to construction sector

# Other Comments

- ▶ Are SIPs Less Prone to Cut Crony Lending than M&As?
  - ▶ Proxy for crony lending: pre-event credit to firms in municipalities ruled by 2007 regional election winner. However: many 2007 elections gave rise to coalition; Catalunya had elections in 2006
  - ▶ Alternative proxy for crony lending: percentage of lending to construction sector
- ▶ How to exploit/account for fact that Catalan banks all went for M&As (except for Caixa Laietana)?

# Other Comments

- ▶ Are SIPs Less Prone to Cut Crony Lending than M&As?
  - ▶ Proxy for crony lending: pre-event credit to firms in municipalities ruled by 2007 regional election winner. However: many 2007 elections gave rise to coalition; Catalunya had elections in 2006
  - ▶ Alternative proxy for crony lending: percentage of lending to construction sector
- ▶ How to exploit/account for fact that Catalan banks all went for M&As (except for Caixa Laietana)?
- ▶ Dig further into institutional details: what are SIPs? What constrains choice between SIP and M&A?