***Free title that the Evaluator wants to give to the report***

Report written in fulfillment of the obligations stated in European Commission Decision #[[1]](#footnote-1) concerning SA.######

Date of current version

1. **Executive summary** (max 1,000 words including tables and figures)

*The Evaluator briefly describes here (possibly by bullet points) the aid scheme’s aims, the methods used for its analysis, the data, the main results, and the policy lessons that can be learned for the future.*

1. **Identification of the scheme** (this section does not enter the word count)
   1. *Country:*
   2. *Identifier: SA.######*
   3. *Nature of the evaluation report: Interim / Final / Additional Report*
   4. *Name of the aid scheme:*
   5. *Does the State aid concern:*
      * *a scheme subject to evaluation pursuant to Article 1(2)(a) of Regulation (EU) No 651/2014?*
      * *an aid scheme notified to the Commission in accordance with Article 108(3) TFEU?*
   6. *Timeframe of disbursement of funds, from first to last installment:*
   7. *Total amount of public funds allocated over the full timeframe:*
   8. *Aid instrument (e.g., direct grant, tax advantage, guarantee, etc.):*
   9. *Reporting schedule (when are the next reports, if any, to be expected?):*
   10. *Authorities responsible for the funding, implementation and management of the State aid scheme:*
   11. *Evaluator:*
   12. *Website and other relevant venues where the present report will be published once approved:*
2. **Introduction** (max 3,000 words including tables and figures)

*The Evaluator describes here the macroeconomic framework and* any existing ex-post evaluations already carried out on the same or very similar schemes, including those implemented in other EU or non-EU countries.

1. **Details of the aid scheme** (max 3,000 words including tables and figures)

*The Evaluator reports here the general and specific objectives of the aid intervention, as well as the motivations behind its introduction. Also, this section describes briefly the legal framework of the aid under scrutiny, as well as its changes over time. Namely, the evaluator describes (among the others) the target population (beneficiaries at whom the intervention is aimed), the eligibility conditions, the selection criteria, the maximum amount of funds awarded to each beneficiary, the aid intensity, the financial instrument and the total amount of funds allocated for the intervention.*

1. **Data** (max 3,000 words including tables and figures)

*This section is devoted to describing the data sources used in the present report, clearly distinguishing between source used to answer evaluation questions on (i) direct effects, (ii) indirect effects and (iii) proportionality and appropriateness of the aid. Also, the Evaluator describes here any difficulty encountered in finding the most suitable or planned data, along with the solutions adopted if the data collection and use differ in any way from what is described in the relevant Evaluation Plan.*

1. **Evaluation questions and Methods** (max 3,000 words including tables and figures)

*The Evaluator should describe here the evaluation questions and methods used for the analyses reported below, clearly distinguishing between methods used to answer evaluation questions on (i) direct effects, (ii) indirect effects and (iii) on proportionality and appropriateness of the aid.* *In addition, the Evaluator describes, if applicable, to what extent the evaluation questions and/or the methodologies applied differ from those set out in the relevant Evaluation Plan, explains why they differ and how this change may affect the ability to evaluate the aid scheme.*

1. **Results** (max 20,000 words overall, including tables and figures)
   1. Descriptive statistics. *This subsection is devoted to monitoring results. It therefore includes figures such as the number of subjects who applied for the aid, the number of actual beneficiaries, the funds distributed so far, etc., with all relevant breakdowns by population subgroups.*
   2. Causal analysis.*This subsection is devoted to reporting the full results of the analysis, with further explicit subsections devoted to (i) direct effects, (ii) indirect effects, (iii) proportionality and appropriateness of the aid respectively. Each of them, then, should be internally organized by the evaluation question being answered. The Evaluator should explain – was this the case – why some questions have not (yet) been answered*.
2. **Concluding remarks** (max 3,000 words including tables and figures)

*Synthesis of main results, lessons to be learnt and policy implications.*

1. **References** (this section does not enter the word count)

*This section includes all and only the references (including articles, reports, books, websites, etc.) quoted in the report above.*

1. The Competence Centre for Microeconomic Evaluation (CC-ME) of the Joint Research Centre of the European Commission is kindly acknowledged for comments and suggestions. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)